DERIV: The 2-pole low-pass filter magnitude response

$$
|H(j \omega)|=\frac{1}{\left|\left(\frac{j \omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q}\left(\frac{j \omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)+1\right|}
$$

has a resonant peak for high $Q$.
The resonant peak, $|H|_{\max }$ is at a different frequency, $\omega_{\mathrm{m}}$, close to but different than the resonant frequency, $\omega_{0}$. To find $\omega_{\mathrm{m}}$, we use the idea from calculus that the maximum occurs where the derivative of a function is zero.

$$
\frac{d}{d \omega}|H(j \omega)|=0
$$

To simplify the calculation, we use magnitude squared. The peak of the magnitude squared will occur at the same frequency as the peak of the magnitude.

$$
\frac{d}{d \omega}|H(j \omega)|^{2}=0
$$

or

$$
0=\frac{d}{d \omega}|H(j \omega)|^{2}=\frac{d}{d \omega} \frac{1}{\left|\left(\frac{j \omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q}\left(\frac{j \omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)+1\right|^{2}}
$$

or, taking the sum of the squares of the imaginary and real parts,

$$
0=\frac{d}{d \omega} \frac{1}{\left[-\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}+1\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{Q^{2}}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}}
$$

We define a convenient, normalized, squared frequency term for the remainder of the calculation.

$$
\mathrm{w} \equiv\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
0=\frac{d}{d \omega} \frac{1}{(-w+1)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q^{2}} \mathrm{w}}
$$

or

$$
0=\frac{d}{d \omega}\left[(-\mathrm{w}+1)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q^{2}} \mathrm{w}\right]^{-1}
$$

or

$$
0=-\left[(-\mathrm{w}+1)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q^{2}} \mathrm{w}\right]^{-2} \frac{d}{d \omega}\left[(-\mathrm{w}+1)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q^{2}} \mathrm{w}\right]
$$

The denominator term must not be zero, so the term to the -2 power may be ignored.

$$
0=\frac{d}{d \omega}\left[(-\mathrm{w}+1)^{2}+\frac{1}{Q^{2}} \mathrm{w}\right]=2(-\mathrm{w}+1)(-1)+\frac{1}{Q^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{w}-1=-\frac{1}{2 Q^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\mathrm{w}=1-\frac{1}{2 Q^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\left(\frac{\omega_{\max }}{\omega_{0}}\right)^{2}=1-\frac{1}{2 Q^{2}}
$$

or, for the exact result,

$$
\frac{\omega_{\max }}{\omega_{0}}=\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2 Q^{2}}}
$$

For small $x$, we have the following Taylor series expansion.

$$
\sqrt{1-x}=1-\frac{x}{2}+O\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

Thus, for high $Q$, we have the following approximation.

$$
\frac{\omega_{\max }}{\omega_{0}} \approx 1-\frac{1}{4 Q^{2}}
$$

For $Q>4$, the approximation is extremely accurate. However, $\omega_{\max }$ is approximately $\omega_{0}$ for $Q>4$ anyway, so the approximation has limited value. The following table lists some values in the useful range of $Q$. Note that there is no peak in the frequency response for $Q<1 / \sqrt{2} \doteq 0.707$.

| $\zeta=\frac{1}{2 Q}$ | $Q$ | $\frac{\omega_{\max }}{\omega_{0}}=\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2 Q^{2}}}$ | $\log _{10}\left(\frac{\omega_{\text {max }}}{\omega_{0}}\right)$ | $\frac{\omega_{\max }}{\omega_{0}} \approx 1-\frac{1}{4 Q^{2}}$ | \% approx err |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.667 | 0.75 | 0.333 | -0.48 | 0.56 | 66.67 |
| 0.625 | 0.8 | 0.468 | -0.33 | 0.61 | 30.29 |
| 0.556 | 0.9 | 0.619 | -0.21 | 0.69 | 11.75 |
| 0.500 | 1 | 0.707 | -0.15 | 0.75 | 6.07 |
| 0.250 | 2 | 0.935 | -0.03 | 0.94 | 0.22 |
| 0.167 | 3 | 0.972 | -0.01 | 0.97 | 0.04 |
| 0.125 | 4 | 0.984 | -0.01 | 0.98 | 0.01 |
| 0.100 | 5 | 0.990 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 |
| 0.100 | 5.00 | 0.990 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 |
| 0.200 | 2.50 | 0.959 | -0.02 | 0.96 | 0.09 |
| 0.300 | 1.67 | 0.906 | -0.04 | 0.91 | 0.49 |
| 0.400 | 1.25 | 0.825 | -0.08 | 0.84 | 1.86 |
| 0.500 | 1.00 | 0.707 | -0.15 | 0.75 | 6.07 |
| 0.600 | 0.83 | 0.529 | -0.28 | 0.64 | 20.95 |
| 0.700 | 0.71 | 0.141 | -0.85 | 0.51 | 260.62 |
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## REF: Wolfram Alpha (Taylor series)

https://www.wolframalpha.com/input/?i=taylor+series+\(1x $\% 29 \% 5 \mathrm{E} 1 \% 2 \mathrm{~F} 2$ accessed 11/15/2020.

