EX: Integrated circuits have been known to have faulty mathematical processors. In one case, a company released a chip with a known error that they were hopeful would remain undiscovered for about one year (until the next-generation chip came out). The error occurred in a coprocessor that multiplied numbers.

To illustrate the type of calculation one might do to estimate how often such an error would occur, consider the following fictitious scenario: an error occurs in the 12th digit of the answer when, in two 9-bit binary numbers being multiplied, the 3-bit pattern 101 in bits 1-3, or 4-6, or 7-9 occurs exactly twice. In other words, separate each 9-bit binary number into 3 sets of 3 bits. Then look at each of the six 3-bit patterns. If the pattern 101 occurs exactly twice in the six patterns, the error occurs. Assume all bits are equally likely to be 0 or 1 independent of all other bit values.

Also, we assume that the probability of anyone noticing an error in the 12th digit of a product will be $1 / 10^{12}$, and the number of multiplies computed each second is $10^{8}$.

How long will it take for P (error noticed) to exceed $1 / 2$ ?

SOL'N: We solve this problem in several steps. Starting from the outside and working our way in, the result we are really going to solve for is how many multiplies, (call this $n$ ), it will take to reach the point where $P($ error noticed in $n$ trials $)=1 / 2$. The time this takes in seconds will be $n / 10^{8}$.

If we try to calculate $P$ (error noticed in $n$ trials) directly, the calculation gets very messy. It is difficult to count all the ways of noticing an error while avoiding over-counting. Suppose we had $n=2$, for example. Then the formula for the probability of a union of events would give the probability for noticing an error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\text { error noticed in } 2 \text { trials })= & P(\text { error noticed in } 1 \text { st trial }) \\
& +P(\text { error noticed in } 2 \text { nd trial }) \\
& -P(\text { error noticed in both trials })
\end{aligned}
$$

Extending the above formula to a large value of $n$ becomes intractable. Instead, we use the complement of the event the error is noticed in $n$ trials:
$P($ error noticed in $n$ trials $)=1-P($ error not noticed in $n$ trials $)$

Our task now becomes calculating the following probability:
$P($ error not noticed in $n$ trials $)=1-1 / 2=1 / 2$
The only way to not notice the error in $n$ trials is to not notice it on every one of the $n$ trials. In other words, the error must not be noticed on the 1 st trial And not be noticed on the 2nd trial And ... And not be noticed on the $n$th trial. Suppose we had $n=2$, for example. Then the formula for the probability of the intersection of independent events would give the probability for noticing an error:
$P($ error not noticed in 2 trials $)=P($ error not noticed in 1 st trial $)$

- $P($ error not noticed in 2nd trial)

NOTE: If the events were not independent, the formula would change to $P($ error not noticed in 2 trials $)=P($ error not noticed in 1st trial $)$

- $P$ (error not noticed in 2nd trial I error not noticed in 1st trial)

For $n$ large, this would also become intractable, although it is still arguably simpler than the formula for the probability of noticing an error.

For all trials, $P$ (error not noticed in one trial) is the same. Thus, for $n$ trials, we have
$P($ error not noticed in $n$ trials $)=P(\text { error not noticed in one trial })^{n}$.
Now we turn to the problem of finding $P$ (error not noticed in one trial). Here, finding the probability of the complement of this event will be easier. Thus, we will find $P$ (error noticed in one trial), which we may express as
$P($ error noticed in one trial $)=P($ error occurs in one trial $) P($ err noticed $)$
This formula follows from the independence of an error occurring and an error being noticed when it occurs. The problem statement says $P($ err noticed $)=1 / 10^{12}$, and we are left with the problem of computing $P$ (error occurs in one trial). To find this value, we consider the probability of one particular pattern of bits for the two nine-bit words that causes an error. It turns out that any other pattern that causes an error has the same
probability. Thus, we can multiply the probability for one error-causing pattern by the number of such error-causing patterns, (found by using a combinatorial coefficient), to find $P$ (error occurs in one trial):
$P($ error occurs in one trial $)=P($ particular error pattern $) \cdot \#$ error patterns
Suppose the particular error-causing pattern we pick is the one with the first two 3-bit patterns $=101$ and the remaining four 3-bit patterns $\neq 101$. The probability of the pattern 101 is $1 / 2 \cdot 1 / 2 \cdot 1 / 2=1 / 8$ since zeros and ones are equally likely and bit values are independent. It follows that the probability of a pattern that is $\neq 101$ is $1-1 / 8=7 / 8$. Since all bits are independent, we have:

$$
P(\text { pattern } 101101 \neq 101 \neq 101 \neq 101 \neq 101)=\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{4}
$$

The number of error patterns is the number of ways of picking two locations for the 101 patterns out of the six 3-bit segments. This is given by a combinatorial coefficient:

$$
\text { \# error patterns }={ }_{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}=\frac{6!}{4!2!}=\frac{6 \cdot 5}{2 \cdot 1}=15
$$

NOTE: We might multiply ${ }_{6} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ by ${ }_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4}$ for the number of ways of picking the locations of the $\neq 101$ patterns after the two 101 patterns are picked, but ${ }_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4}=1$. That is, there is only one way to pick where the remaining four $\neq 101$ patterns can go.

We are now ready to calculate $P$ (error occurs in one trial):

$$
P(\text { error occurs in one trial })=\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^{4} \cdot 15 \approx 0.1374
$$

Substituting this into our equation for the probability of noticing an error in one trial, we have
$P($ error noticed in one trial $)=0.1374 \cdot 10^{-12}$.
Returning to an earlier formula, we have

$$
P(\text { error not noticed in one trial })^{n}=\left(1-0.1374 \cdot 10^{-12}\right)^{n} .
$$

To find $n$, we solve the following equation:

$$
\left(1-0.1374 \cdot 10^{-12}\right)^{n}=1 / 2
$$

The left side of this equation is of the form $(1-x)^{n}$ where $x \ll 1$ is very small. We may, therefore, use an approximation derived from the binomial expansion:

$$
(1-x)^{n} \approx 1-n x
$$

Using this approximation, the equation we solve is

$$
1-n\left(0.1374 \cdot 10^{-12}\right)=1 / 2
$$

or

$$
n\left(0.1374 \cdot 10^{-12}\right)=1 / 2
$$

This yield the value $n=3.639 \bullet 10^{12}$. Dividing this by the $10^{8}$ calculations per second gives a time of

$$
t=3.639 \cdot 10^{12} \mathrm{~s} \approx 10.4 \mathrm{hr}=10: 24
$$

The error is likely to be noticed in about 10 hours. (In the case of the actual chip, the error was found and publicized within a few days, causing the manufacturer to presumably reconsider its decision.)

