

**TOOL:** The signed rank test is a nonparametric test of the null hypothesis that the unknown mean,  $\mu$ , of a process is equal to the known mean,  $\mu_0$ , of another process:

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$

$$H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$$

To use the signed rank test, we require a symmetric probability density function, (i.e., distribution), for observations so the median and the mean are equal.

First, we sample the new process  $N$  times, obtaining observations  $x_i$ . From the  $x_i$  and the known mean  $\mu_0$  of the second process, we compute the distances,  $d_i$ , of the observations from  $\mu_0$ :

$$d_i = x_i - \mu_0$$

Second, we discard samples equal to  $\mu_0$ , if any, and reduce  $N$  accordingly.

Third, we rank the distances,  $d_i$ , in order of their absolute value (i.e., magnitude) from lowest, (rank = 1), to highest, (rank =  $N$ ). If two or more  $d_i$  have the same magnitude, they are all assigned the average value of their ranks. If four  $d_i$ 's have the same magnitude and correspond to ranks 3, 4, 5, and 6, for example, then all four  $d_i$ 's are assigned a rank of  $(3 + 4 + 5 + 6)/4 = 4.5$ .

Fourth, we compute the sum,  $w_-$ , of the ranks for negative  $d_i$ , and the sum,  $w_+$ , of the ranks for positive  $d_i$ .

$$w_- \equiv \text{sum of ranks of } d_i < 0$$

$$w_+ \equiv \text{sum of ranks of } d_i > 0$$

Fifth, we define  $w$  to be the smaller of  $w_-$  and  $w_+$ :

$$w \equiv \text{lesser of } w_- \text{ and } w_+$$

Sixth, we compare  $w$  with a critical value,  $w_\alpha$ , from a table, such as Table A.17 of [1], for the desired significance level,  $\alpha$ . If  $w \leq w_\alpha$ , then we reject  $H_0$ .

**NOTE:** We can also test one-sided alternative hypotheses as follows:

$$H_1: \mu < \mu_0 \quad \text{reject } H_0 \text{ if } w_+ \leq w_\alpha$$

$$H_1: \mu > \mu_0 \quad \text{reject } H_0 \text{ if } w_- \leq w_\alpha$$

## DEFINITIONS:

- $\mu_0$  = known mean of existing process
- $\mu$  = unknown mean of new process
- $N$  = total number of observations (data points) made
- $i$  = index designating which sample (treatment) is being considered
- $x_i$  = value measured for observation (data point)  $i$
- $d_i$  = distance of observation (data point)  $i$  from known mean,  $\mu_0$
- $w_-$  = sum of ranks of observations with negative distances
- $w_+$  = sum of ranks of observations with positive distances
- $w$  = lesser of  $w_-$  and  $w_+$
- $w_\alpha$  = critical value of  $w$  for rejecting  $H_0$
- $\alpha$  = significance level for rejecting null hypothesis

**DERIV:** Following the description presented in [2], the signs of the  $d_i$  may be thought of as outcomes of Bernoulli trials. That is, the signs are either positive or negative. If  $\mu = \mu_0$ , then positive and negative signs are equally likely. Thus,  $p = q = 1/2$  for the attendant Binomial distribution. (Note that this requires a symmetric distribution so that the mean and median have the same value.)

Taking the logic a step further, the  $x_i$  are equally likely to have any rank. This means that the probability of any one particular pattern of + and – signs for the ranked distances is  $1/2^N$ .

If we start with the most extreme patterns in terms of  $w_-$  or  $w_+$  values, such as all –'s or all +'s and work toward the least extreme pattern of half –'s and half +'s, we can determine what value of  $w_-$  or  $w_+$  encompasses a set of patterns with total probability as close as possible to  $\alpha$  without exceeding it. This yields the critical value,  $w_\alpha$ .

**NOTE:** Finding  $w_\alpha$  is straightforward but tedious. Thus, it is convenient to look up  $w_\alpha$  values in a table.

- REF:** [1] Ronald E. Walpole, Raymond H. Myers, Sharon L. Myers, and Keying Ye, *Probability and Statistics for Engineers and Scientists*, 8th Ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2007.
- [2] Anthony J. Hayter, *Probability and Statistics for Engineers and Scientists*, 2th Ed., Pacific Grove, CA: Duxbury, 2002.